UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,656	11/30/2004	Olaf Schafer	04-630	8768
	7590 06/05/2007 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL S	00 CHAPEL STREET FARAH, AH			HMED M
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No	Amuliacetta		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/516,656	SCHAFER, OLAF		
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ahmed M. Farah	3735		
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specifier - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING I able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory perio extended period for reply will, by statu- later than three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on	·			
2a) This action is FINA	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordar	nce with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/a	are pending in the applicati	on.	·		
4a) Of the above c	laim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/	are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/a					
7) Claim(s) is/	<u>-</u>				
8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers		·			
9)  ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) file	d on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.		
* *	• •	e drawing(s) be held in abeya			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declara	ation is objected to by the i	examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
•	s made of a claim for foreig * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	pies of the priority docume				
<del>-</del>	• •	nts have been received in A			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			n received in this National Stage		
	from the International Bure		t required		
See the attached de	etailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.		
Attachment/s)					
Attachment(s)  1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (	PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Pat	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5)	Informal Patent Application		
	-	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,656

Art Unit: 3735

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rizoiu et al. US Patent No. 6,350,123.

Rizoiu et al. disclose a medical apparatus for dental treatment, the apparatus comprising a handpiece, a light guide in the handpiece, and first and second laser modules having different wavelengths as presently claimed (see Fig. 1). The apparatus of Rizoiu et al. further comprises at least one lens, a cooling fluid, a coolant line, and a light delivery means such as optical fibers and hollow waveguides.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,656

Art Unit: 3735

Claims 19- rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rizoiu et al. in view of Irwin US Patent No. 7,144,248.

Although Rizoiu et al., described above, employ at least two different lasers, they do not teach the use of a diode laser and/or an Er:YAG lasers as claimed. They further fail to teach the use of two lenses. However, the use of diode and/or Er:YAG lasers to provide treatment energy is well known in the medical art. Moreover, the use of multiple lenses to direct optical energy to a desired location is also known in the art.

Irwin teaches an alternative treatment device comprising a diode laser for providing treatment energy, a delivery handpiece, and at least two lenses for directing the treatment light. Hence, at the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Rizoiu et al. in view of Irwin and use a diode laser as an equivalent alternative light source to provide treatment energy. It would have been further obvious to one skilled in the art to use multiple lenses to direct the treatment energy.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tue, Thur and Fri between 9:30 AM 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marmor II Charles can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3735

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ahmed M Farah Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735/

May 29, 2007.